

caretaker government and gave New Zedans, all the ingredients of a fast-class constructional casis.

The results on electron right in 1964 and cit, clear that the National Government had been defeated by the Labout opposition, but the heal official results were some favorable and a flaving of special vores. New Zealand was then in the director strong that the fact of the Results of the director of the country. Clinical right before we required to the flaving of the country of the director was required to the factor of the country of a director was refused to the flavor of the country of the worldshift devalue as long as fig. was vibrusing of flavors. In response to the reputible suggestion that there was little sense in nordering the government decription decision. Set Robert said that there was little sense in nordering the government decription decision in the realities of government over the neoritary finne Minister norder.

Sir Robert saw himself as still in charge I egally he was, he was still Wife Minister and Minister of Finance and would remain so until the Covernous-saving a registed his resignation or dismissed him. Over the next ten days the Avishidor Government was the lawful government. Its actions were, however, considered be limited by certain constitutional donventions. The most importate of these that the our oing government must not and grake any action that will emain the manner procuring the trial of the way generally understood. The question was the manner of the same of the way generally understood. The question was the meaning overnment.

whether consultational convention required one concoing government the direction of the incoming government on a major policy Issue: The stead was resolved three days after the election when Sir Robert wrote to the Polymster-election in the would act on the decisions of the incoming government in relation to the currant crisis.

As explained in Chapter 1, the legal mot of this crisis was resolved permeating by the Constitution Act 1965 clarifying who could be ministers and what government could brange. Critical to resolving the crisis at the time which we statement by the Attention Central, the Itom J.K. McLay is which it for the experiment the conventions to which outgoing governments were subject. He put its Conventions to which outgoing governments were subject.

- it that it (the sugging government) will undertake no new policy intenduced
- 22. that is will act on the edvice of the recommer government on any replication
- he new government formally takes office—even if the outgoing sortelling even in



Amendment Act). Curiously, it took New Zealand from 1931, when the Statute of Westminster was passed by the United Kingdom Parliament, until 1947 to cut the constitutional apron string. Other oddities remained even longer. The United Kingdom could still make law for New Zealand by the request and consent of the New Zealand Parliament until that power was removed by the Constitution Act 1986. Finally and completely, the New Zealand constitution was 'patriated' to New Zealand.

Otherwise, the constitutional history of New Zealand from 1852 until 1986 was largely a whittling away of the 1852 act until all that was left at the end were twelve provisions where once there had been eighty-two. These provisions gave no clue as to the structure of government in New Zealand or how power was exercised. The old Constitution Act was a relic, harmless, but also useless.

It is very doubtful whether this strange relic would have changed quickly had it not been for a constitutional crisis in 1984. In July 1984, immediately after the Labour Government was elected, a serious constitutional event occurred. It arose from the unwillingness of the outgoing National Prime Minister, Sir Robert Muldoon, to recommend to the Governor-General urgent financial measures concerning devaluation of the currency which those who would form the incoming government saw as essential. Under New Zealand law then, there was real doubt whether, in law, an opposition party which had won a general election could immediately form a government and take responsibility for the measures. In the event, a grave situation was narrowly averred

21

CC

15

co

CO

COI

det

doc

in p

judy

day.

Gen agen The

are g

funct legal

yet it than a

The crisis exposed major uncertainties in New Zealand's constitutional law. Clear practical rules to enable a swift transfer of power were needed, so the government decided to set up an expert committee of officials to examine the issue and make recommendations. The terms of reference dealt not only with the transfer of power problem but also required the committee to make a general review of New Zealand's constitutional provisions with the object of putting the most important into one enactment. The result was a report that formed the basis for the Constitution Act 1986,<sup>3</sup> which was passed by the New Zealand Parliament with unanimous support. Even though it contains nothing radical, it represented a new constitutional beginning. To the public it passed without fanfare. Only eight submissions were received by the select committee of Parliament which

## NEW ZEALAND'S WESTMINSTER CONSTITUTION—UNTIL MMP

Most nations have a document called a constitution that lays down the framework

Report of an Officials Committee, Constitutional Reform, Department of Justice, Wellington, February 1986.

A second of the second of the

Startes is the convergions because it New Zealand is no life, until 1986 was largely a whiching so a coine I see an until al that was follow the optimises a sychologic where once there had occas ciphic to a Their provisions gave no cheer as to the sandenne of governments in frew Zealand on how power was exercised. The old Constitute Accourse a who have Zealand on how power was

It is very aloubern when or the crast a relic would be se charged quirtly had along for a contractional ereix in 1984 in July 1984, emprediately after the Labour Covernment was decod, a refuse constructional excurgiositive distance from the unwillingness of the uniquity National Printe Minister Sir Robert Muldoon, a recommend for the Geovernor-General ingent manners and concerning devaluation of the currency which those who would form the incoming paveringent saw as essential. Under New Zealand law then, there was real dutible whether, in law, an opposition party which had not a general election could unanchinely form a government and take responsibility for the measures.

The case practical rules to enable a swrit transfer of power year, are ded, so the covernacest decided to set up an expert communes of obtained to execute the rate and make recommendations. The terms of retargence deals not again with the transfer of power, problem but the terms of retargence deals not again with the transfer of power, problem but the elementary of the communication and account the terms of the communication and the communication and the second provisions with the constitution of the transfer of the transfer of the first transfer of the transfer of th

Alose namons pave a document called a constitution charlays doven the framework

Repair of an Cifficials Committee. Communical Equate. Department of Justice, Waltington, Edward 1986.