conclusion; and later wrote\textsuperscript{30} that, “It can be argued that a constitutional convention was either restated or emerged\textsuperscript{31} in the context of the events of 16 and 17 July [and] thus [he said] the apparent constitutional impasse was resolved”.

And so, as Palmer and others have said, before July 1984, there was no such constitutional convention.

\textbf{Invented in the dark hours}

If a convention did “emerge”, then I can only adopt the original "Streaker’s Defence": It seemed like a good idea at the time\textsuperscript{32}. If a new constitutional convention was (to put it more colloquially) “invented”, it was necessary in order to force Muldoon to do the right thing (to “force his hand”); and, even though I now acknowledge stretching things to achieve that outcome, I make no apology for that – it certainly seemed like a good idea at the time.

Later the law was changed\textsuperscript{33}, introducing procedures for quickly swearing in a new government; but the "caretaker" issue was not resolved by that legislation - and continues to be covered by an unwritten constitutional convention, still known as the “Caretaker Convention”. Invented in the dark hours of Monday 16 July 1984, it remains as I told Muldoon that following morning, and is now an accepted part of our Constitution - formally set out in Paragraph 6.24 of the \textit{Cabinet Manual}, in almost identical language to the press statement of 17 July 1984\textsuperscript{34}.


\textsuperscript{31} My emphasis.

\textsuperscript{32} The story goes that, when of the first streakers was prosecuted in Sydney, Australia, in the 1960s, and was asked by the Magistrate why he had done it, the young man mumbled that “It seemed like a good idea at the time”.

\textsuperscript{33} The Constitution Act 1986.

\textsuperscript{34} Paragraph 6.24 of the New Zealand \textit{Cabinet Manual} provides that “Where it is clear which party or parties will form the next government but Ministers have not yet been sworn in, the outgoing government should: a. undertake no new policy initiatives; and b. act on the advice of the incoming